

○

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

| | | |
|---------------------------|---|-----------------------|
| UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, |) | Case No.: SACR 06-200 |
| Plaintiff, |) | ORDER OF DETENTION |
| vs. |) | |
| FRANK VERDIN CAMPOS, |) | |
| Defendant. |) | |

I.

- A. (X) On motion of the Government in a case allegedly involving:
1. () a crime of violence.
 2. () an offense with maximum sentence of life imprisonment or death.
 3. () a narcotics or controlled substance offense with maximum sentence of ten or more years.
 4. () any felony - where defendant convicted of two or more prior offenses described above.
 5. (X) any felony that is not otherwise a crime of violence that involves a minor victim, or possession or use of a firearm or destructive device or any other dangerous weapon, or a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. § 2250.

- 1 B. (X) On motion by the Government/() on Court's own motion, in a case
2 allegedly involving:
3 (X) On the further allegation by the Government of:
4 1. (X) a serious risk that the defendant will flee.
5 2. () a serious risk that the defendant will:
6 a. () obstruct or attempt to obstruct justice.
7 b. () threaten, injure or intimidate a prospective witness or
8 juror, or attempt to do so.
9 C. The Government () is/(X) is not entitled to a rebuttable presumption that no
10 condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the defendant's
11 appearance as required and the safety of any person or the community.
12

13 **II.**

- 14 A. (X) The Court finds that no condition or combination of conditions will
15 reasonably assure:
16 1. (X) the appearance of the defendant as required.
17 (X) and/or
18 2. (X) the safety of any person or the community.
19 B. () The Court finds that the defendant has not rebutted by sufficient evidence
20 to the contrary the presumption provided by statute.
21

22 **III.**

23 The Court has considered:

- 24 A. (X) the nature and circumstances of the offense(s) charged, including whether
25 the offense is a crime of violence, a Federal crime of terrorism, or involves
26 a minor victim or a controlled substance, firearm, explosive, or destructive
27 device;
28 B. (X) the weight of evidence against the defendant;

- 1 C. (X) the history and characteristics of the defendant; and
2 D. (X) the nature and seriousness of the danger to any person or the community.

3
4 **IV.**

5 The Court also has considered all the evidence adduced at the hearing and the
6 arguments and/or statements of counsel, and the Pretrial Services Report.

7
8 **V.**

9 The Court bases the foregoing finding(s) on the following:

- 10 A. (X) As to flight risk: Defendant's history of failures to appear, history of
11 probation violations, his use of name variations, and multiple social
12 security numbers, pending sentence in a state criminal case, lack of
13 adequate bail resources.
14 B. (X) As to danger: The nature of the charged offense and Defendant's extensive
15 criminal history.

16
17 **VI.**

- 18 A. () The Court finds that a serious risk exists the defendant will:
19 1. () obstruct or attempt to obstruct justice.
20 2. () attempt to/ () threaten, injure or intimidate a witness or juror.

- 21 B. The Court bases the foregoing finding(s) on the following:

22 _____
23 _____
24 _____

VI.

- A. IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the defendant be detained prior to trial.
- B. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the defendant be committed to the custody of the Attorney General for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal.
- C. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the defendant be afforded reasonable opportunity for private consultation with counsel.
- D. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, on order of a Court of the United States or on request of any attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility in which defendant is confined deliver the defendant to a United States marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

DATED: May 22, 2007

/ s /
ARTHUR NAKAZATO
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE